



January 27, 2026

The Honorable Evan Worrell
Chair, House Committee on Health and Human Resources
West Virginia Legislature
Room 217E, Building 1
State Capitol Complex
Charleston, WV 25305

RE: ATA ACTION COMMENTS ON HB 4770

Dear Chair Worrell and members of the Committee on Health and Human Resources,

On behalf of ATA Action, I am writing to share our association's perspective on House Bill 4770 regarding the use of artificial intelligence to provide services requiring a professional license. Our organization appreciates the Legislature's focus on patient protection and the quality of mental health services, and we are broadly supportive of the intent of this legislation. However, we are concerned that, as written, this proposal could unintentionally cause confusion for providers due to the presence of broad definitions and a failure to consider FDA cleared products along with potentially onerous reporting requirements.

ATA Action, the American Telemedicine Association's affiliated trade association focused on advocacy, advances policy to ensure all individuals have permanent access to telehealth services across the care continuum. ATA Action supports the enactment of state and federal telehealth policies to secure telehealth access for all Americans, including those in rural and underserved communities. ATA Action recognizes that telehealth and virtual care have the potential to truly transform the health care delivery system – by improving patient outcomes, enhancing safety and effectiveness of care, addressing health disparities, and reducing costs – if only allowed to flourish.

ATA Action has followed and engaged in the development of state policies regarding the use of AI in healthcare, including the recently enacted Illinois AI mental health framework (HB 1806) – which appears to have served as the inspiration for HB 4770. Illinois enacted HB 1806 with significant flaws in place, over our opposition, which included an unintentional ban on physician's delivering therapy, failure to consider FDA-cleared products, overly broad definitions, and arbitrary restrictions that limit licensed clinicians from using AI tools consistent with their scope of practice, and the standard of care. Our organization is excited to see that HB 4770 does not import many of these issues, although we believe some amendments are necessary prior to this bill being advanced.

First and foremost, our organization is supportive of the title protections present in §33-57-2(e) of the bill. ATA Action believes that licensed providers should always remain at the center of delivering patient care and must be empowered to use their expertise, education and specialty knowledge to tailor care to their patients' needs. Relatedly, we strongly support how this legislation permits providers to use AI tools, like any other clinical tool, where appropriate to assist in services consistent with the practitioner's scope of practice.

Second, our organization believes that, for the sake of clarity and to avoid unintended consequences, the definition of "therapy or psychotherapy services" needs to be narrowed. HB 4770's definition of "therapy

ATA ACTION

13th St NW, 12th Floor Washington, DC 20005
Info@ataaction.org



or psychotherapy services” includes not only services that diagnose and treat, but any services that “*improve* an individual’s mental health or behavioral health.” We have concern that the inclusion of “improve” is overly broad and captures a wide range of resources, products, or services that are not currently provided by licensed professionals and that might improve an individual’s mental health. Indeed, the relevant mental health professional associations do not define therapy or psychotherapy, or the requisite scope of practice so broadly. Given that the bill contains significant requirements and prohibitions about “therapy or psychotherapy services,” we believe it is important that this definition is narrowly tailored as suggested below.

(12) "Therapy or psychotherapy services" means services provided to diagnose, or treat, ~~or improve~~ an individual's mental health or behavioral health.

Likewise, the definition of “therapeutic communication” is too broad, potentially causing confusion or restricting use of beneficial AI software. As with the inclusion of the word improve in the definition of therapy discussed above, the use of the word “address” in this definition is broad and potentially confusions as there is a wide variety of things which could “address” an individual’s mental health condition that are not “therapeutic communication.” Furthermore, we believe, for the sake of clarity, that certain sections of the definition of “therapeutic communication” should be replaced with actions that constitute the delivery of psychotherapy services in order to avoid any confusion with activities included in the definition of therapeutic communication but not in the definition of therapy or psychotherapy services and to ensure technology such as live journals patients can use between sessions are not impacted. Finally, we believe there could be further refinement to the language regarding patient distress. This change, along with the others described in this paragraph, is included in the redlined definition below.

(9) "Therapeutic communication" means any verbal, non-verbal, or written interaction conducted in a clinical or professional setting that is intended to diagnose, treat, ~~or address~~ an individual's mental, emotional, or behavioral health concerns, including but not limited to direct interactions with clients ~~that constitutes the delivery of therapy or psychotherapy services for the purpose of understanding or reflecting their thoughts, emotions, or experiences~~; providing independent clinical guidance, therapeutic strategies, or interventions designed to achieve mental health outcomes; offering emotional support, reassurance, or empathy in response to ~~suicidal or self harm ideation-psychological or emotional distress~~; collaborating with clients to develop or modify therapeutic goals or treatment plans; and offering behavioral feedback ~~that constitutes the delivery of therapy or psychotherapy services intended to promote psychological growth or address mental health conditions~~. Therapeutic communication ~~does not~~ does not include generic, non-individualized educational or wellness content that is not tailored to a specific person and does not purport to diagnose, treat, or respond to that person’s individual mental or behavioral health condition.

Additionally, as currently drafted, HB 4770 does not consider Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-cleared products, treating all products the same, which we believe is potentially harmful to patient care. FDA-regulated digital therapeutics and AI tools are held to rigorous standards, including quality management systems, cybersecurity requirements and mandatory adverse event reporting, ensuring both safety and efficacy. Our organization represents Digital Therapeutics, which are clinically validated and FDA regulated Software as a Medical Device products that incorporate artificial intelligence and other technologies into treatments delivered to patients through phones, tablets, computers, and VR headsets. The FDA cleared its first prescription digital therapeutic in 2017 and has since approved more than 20 through this rigorous review process under both the Biden and Trump administrations.

ATA ACTION

13th St NW, 12th Floor Washington, DC 20005
Info@ataaction.org



These products undergo clinical validation, are subject to pre- and post-market oversight and involve regulated healthcare practitioners as gatekeepers, protecting patients throughout the care process. In contrast, unregulated mobile health apps operate without these safeguards, rely only on general consumer protections, and may compromise patient data while making unproven health claims. Maintaining the distinction between regulated and unregulated products is essential to protect patients while allowing safe, evidence-based digital interventions to thrive. Indeed, given the existing federal oversight, Colorado's AI Act -- the country's first comprehensive AI law -- exempts high-risk AI systems already approved, authorized, or certified by the FDA.

To address this issue, we suggest exempting from the provisions of this legislation any artificial intelligence tool or system that has been reviewed and cleared for use by the Federal Food and Drug Administration, or another federal agency tasked with approving artificial intelligence and artificial intelligence algorithms for use in health care.

Finally, our organization has concerns regarding the reporting requirements that would be implemented by §33-57-2(i). While we understand the intent behind the requirement to file annual reports to the Offices of the Insurance Commission to ensure operators are compliant with the provisions of this legislation, our organization believes that this annual requirement is overly onerous and instead would be better served through a compliance statement or attestation. This would require operators to document their compliance program and have this information readily available for audit by the Office, without the tall task of an annual report. The annual report requirement would be particularly onerous for small provider groups or digital entities serving small, but crucial, client populations. These companies have small compliance teams and could opt against using innovative and beneficial AI systems in the healthcare setting, to the detriment of patients, in order to avoid expensive and onerous compliance regimes created by an annual reporting requirement.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. We urge the Committee to consider our feedback above before advancing HB 4770 to strike the best balance between patient safety, innovation and clarity. If you have any questions or would like to discuss the telehealth industry's perspective further, please contact me at hyoung@ataaction.org.

Kind regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Hunter Young". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Hunter Young
Head of State Government Relations
ATA Action